

Variability in Mandarin Tone Perception a multidialectal approach

Dafydd Gibbon¹, Huangmei Liu² ¹Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany & Jinan University, Guangzhou, China ²Tongji University, Shanghai, China & Fryske Academy, Leeuwarden, NL

ABSTRACT

As a preliminary to a larger scale dialect study, variability in the perception of the four Mandarin lexical tones by native speakers different regional dialect with backgrounds was examined.

In a novel sociophonetic survey

Test new method

- multidialectal comparison
- contrast with previous bidialectal and bilingual comparisons

GOALS

• exploratory rather than confirmatory

Sociophonetic focus

- assignment of descriptors of perceived pitch:
 - to standard Mandarin

1. DISTRIBUTION



Descriptor scores per tone: Speaker A (left), Speaker B (right)



of the ascription of pitch descriptors to tones, respondents rated the applicability of descriptors of pitch contour and height to recordings of tones on a 5-point Likert scale.

Each response contained metadata, with self-reported experience with regional varieties of Chinese.

Results showed differences in variability between pitch contour and pitch height descriptors, as well as some dependence between descriptor scores and regional dialect background, due to categorial tone perception.

A number of statistical and visualisation techniques were applied, including a set of hierarchical classifiers with dendrogram visualisation for comparison with dialect areas.

(Půtōnghuà) tones

- by native speaker responders from different regions
- comparison of <u>height</u> and <u>contour</u> descriptors
- focus on inter-rater <u>variability</u> (contrast with inter-rater <u>reliability</u>)
- preparation for a large-scale multidialectal study
- longer-term goal of relating pitch descriptor assignments
 - to self-ascribed regional dialects
 - to linguistic dialect classification

TASK

Assign pitch descriptors to tones

- metalinguistic, cf. judgment paradigm of auditory phonetics and phonology
- sociophonetics, 'folk linguistic' opinions

Custom online tool OSCAR • input:

- responder metadata:
- age group, sex, L1, regional variety • single-page Likert format survey form

SpA high rise mid r-f low f-r level fall **T1** | 4.12 | 1.41 | 2.39 | 1.17 | 1.47 | 1.27 | 3.92 | 1.20 **T2** | 2.95 | 4.52 | 2.74 | 1.27 | 1.52 | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.24 **T3** | 2.11 | 1.36 | 3.03 | 1.85 | 1.70 | 4.27 | 1.59 | 1.23 **T4** 3.79 1.45 1.97 1.53 1.64 1.32 1.39 4.52 SpB high rise mid r-f low f-r level fall **T1** | 2.32 | 1.36 | 3.29 | 1.36 | 1.97 | 1.21 | 4.24 | 1.18 **T2** | 2.11 | 4.58 | 3.32 | 1.18 | 1.70 | 1.47 | 1.33 | 1.21 **T3** 1.56 1.27 2.71 1.59 3.00 4.14 1.70 1.44 **T4** |2.77 |1.44 |2.68 |1.30 |1.47 |1.11 |1.45 |4.58 |

Descriptor distribution

- contour descriptors:
 - high density for high scores
 - Mandarin lexical tone system: Tone 1: *level;* Tone 2: *rise* Tone 3: *fall-rise*; Tone 4: *fall*

 - distractor tone: low score
 - rise-fall

height descriptors

(**|\$**|\/r**t**i\/r**t**i

- high density for low scores, but inconsistent
 - bimodal and/or very broad densities
 - high, mid, low

2. RESPONSE CLUSTERS

Pearson Distance classification

- 7 clustering algorithms compared
- comparison with geographical areas
- \rightarrow Ward incremental variance minimisation
- <u>Shandong+Hebei</u>: geogr. close, N. Mandarin
- Hunan, Hakka, Henan: geog. close, hist. rel.
- others: prosodic typology partly plausible, geographically and historically less plausible • noise due to
 - small data set with large number of classes
 - inaccuracies in self-ascription
 - normative element in self-ascription:
 - responders are language graduates • strong influence of standard Mandarin



The significant results (analysis of variance, classification) indicate that the sociophonetic survey method tentatively fulfils its purpose and yields new results, but needs more data in the later more extensive study.

INDEX TERMS

Mandarin Chinese multidialectal survey categorial tone perception pitch descriptor regional variability dialect sociophonetics

OSCAR

wwwhomes.uni-bielefeld.de/

• list of tones + pitch descriptor choices • output:

- for responders: confirmation
- for experimenter: automatic evaluation

Stimuli

- 16 tone items (4 tones x 2 tokens each x 2 female speakers, standard Beijing Mandarin
- for all responders: same randomised token order, no adjacent repetitions





Geographically and/or historically distant pairs: WU-Cantonese, Nankinese-Guizhou, Henan-Hebei-Wu, Henan-Hebei, Xiang-Wu, Xinyang-Cantonese



CONCLUSIONS

gibbon/OSCAR/OSCAR_cmn01/

CONTACT

Dafydd Gibbon <gibbon@uni-bielefeld.de>

Huangmei Liu <lauraliu4321@163.com>



8 pitch descriptors

• contours: *level*, *rise*, *fall-rise*, *rise-fall* (distractor), fall • heights: *high*, *mid*, *low*

5-point input scale

• yes, maybe, not sure, maybe not, no • coded 5, 4, 3, 2, 1

ıdio_1:					
sten to the recording at ast twice:	• •	0:00/0	:03 🜗 —	•	
The melody of the sample is	yes	maybe	unsure	maybe not	
high	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
rising	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
mid	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
rising-falling	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
low	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
falling-rising	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
level	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
falling	0	0	0	\bigcirc	

MANOVA

• fixed factors:

descriptor, pitch dialect • tone type, background, speaker, with interactions • significant effects

• dialect region, pitch descriptor

strong interactions

overall higher pitch

• tone + descriptor, speaker + descriptor • *dialect* + *tone* + *shape* (multiinteraction)

Factors	Df	Sum	Mean	F	р
		Sq	Sq		
dial	16	480	30	12.966	< 0.001
descr.	1	123	123.19	53.252	< 0.001
tone:descr.	1	130	130.39	56.365	< 0.001
sp.:descr	1	38	38.26	16.54	<.0001
dial:tone:descr	16	89	5.58	2.413	< 0.01

Main descriptive outcomes

• expected canonical status of contour vs. height descriptors confirmed: canonical descriptors assigned more consistently than non-canonical descriptors

- significant differences for factors *dialect* and descriptor
- significant interactions for tone + descriptor, speaker + descriptor, dialect + tone + descriptor partly plausible classification results • despite small dataset – but more data needed

Main strategic outcome

The novel method is fit for purpose as a baseline for current planning of a larger dialect survey using more complex contextual data such as tone sandhi, accentuation, intonation, with larger numbers of speakers of each dialect.