

# Computational Phonology

## Paradigmatic Computing

Dafydd Gibbon

Bielefeld University  
Jinan University, Guangzhou

# **Paradigmatic computing (classification of categories)**

# Paradigmatic computing (classification of categories)

- Paradigmatic computing is essentially about
  - generalisations over entries in a lexicon
  - Lexica include inventories of
    - phonemes, tones or intonations
    - collocations, idioms
- Objective: to account for
  - Partial generalisations in terms of defaults (markedness)
  - Oppositions – express contrasts
    - Privative vs. equipollent oppositions (Prague School)
    - Markedness conventions (generative phonologies)
  - Redundancies – express generalisations
    - Morpheme structure rules
    - Redundancy rules
    - Implication hierarchies
    - Inheritance hierarchies

# **Inheritance Phonology**

**and the (partially) compositional phonological lexicon**

# Inheritance Phonology: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

### Vertical Position

high

mid

low

### Horizontal Position

front

centre

back

### Labial Position

relaxed

round

## The task:

Combine

- Simultaneous compositional feature structures
- Similarity based classification hierarchy of natural classes

# Inheritance Phonology: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

Vertical Position

high

mid

low

Horizontal Position

front

centre

back

Labial Position

relaxed

round

## The task:

Combine

- Simultaneous compositional feature structures
- Similarity based classification hierarchy of natural classes

# Inheritance Phonology: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

### Vertical Position

high

mid

low

### Horizontal Position

front

centre

back

### Labial Position

relaxed

round

**a**

VertPos: low  
HorizPos: back  
LabPos: unround

**e**

**o**

**i**

**u**

# Paradigmatic Computing: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

Vertical Position

high

mid

low

Horizontal Position

front


centre

back

Labial Position

relaxed

round





# Paradigmatic Computing: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

Vertical Position

high

mid

low

Horizontal Position

front


centre

back

Labial Position

relaxed

round



# Paradigmatic Computing: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

Vertical Position

high

mid

low

Horizontal Position

front


centre

back

Labial Position

relaxed

round



# Paradigmatic Computing: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

Vertical Position

high

mid

low

Horizontal Position

front


centre

back

Labial Position

relaxed

round



# Paradigmatic Computing: Default Inheritance Hierarchies

## Vowel features

(not necessarily binary)

Vertical Position

high

mid

low

Horizontal Position

front


centre

back

Labial Position

relaxed

round



**A typical computational (rather than empirical) problem:**  
Re-design this inheritance hierarchy using a binary system?

# **The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon**

# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon

<b>Entries</b>					
<i>High</i>					
<i>Mid</i>					
<i>Low</i>					
<i>Rise</i>					
<i>Fall</i>					
<i>Rise_Fall</i>					
<i>Fall_Rise</i>					

# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon


<b>Entries</b>	<b>Categories</b>	<b>Inheritance</b>			
<i>High</i>		<b>Prosody()</b>			
<i>Mid</i>		<b>Prosody()</b>			
<i>Low</i>		<b>Prosody()</b>			
<i>Rise</i>		← <b>(Low,High)</b>			
<i>Fall</i>		← <b>(High,Low)</b>			
<i>Rise_Fall</i>		← <b>(Rise,Fall)</b>			
<i>Fall_Rise</i>		← <b>(Fall,Rise)</b>			
	<b>CompPros</b>	<b>Prosody()</b>			
	<b>Prosody</b>				

# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon

<b>Entries</b>	<b>Categories</b>	<b>Inheritance</b>	<b>phon</b>	<b>sem</b>	<b>prag</b>
<i>High</i>		<b>Prosody()</b>	H	continue	small
<i>Mid</i>		<b>Prosody()</b>	M	hesitate	normal
<i>Low</i>		<b>Prosody()</b>	L	stop	big
<i>Rise</i>		← <b>(Low,High)</b>	L-H	incomplete	suspense
<i>Fall</i>		← <b>(High,Low)</b>	H-L	complete	certainty
<i>Rise_Fall</i>		← <b>(Rise,Fall)</b>	L-H-L	appraisive	surprise
<i>Fall_Rise</i>		← <b>(Fall,Rise)</b>	H-L-H	incomplete	emphatic
	<b>CompPros</b>	<b>Prosody()</b>			
	<b>Prosody</b>				




# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon



Each lexical entry has

- 1) Compositional properties inherited from its components (if complex)
- 2) Idiomatic properties of its own.

# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon



# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon

High:

<phon> == high  
<sem> == continue  
<> == Prosody.

Low:

<sem> == stop  
<phon> == low  
<> == Prosody.

Rise:

<spec> == "Low:<>"  
<head> == "High:<>"  
<phon> == incomplete  
<sem> == suspense  
<> == Complex\_Prosody.

Fall:

<spec> == "High:<>"  
<head> == "Low:<>"  
<phon> == complete  
<sem> == certainty  
<> == Complex\_Prosody.

Call\_Contour:

<spec> == "High:<>"  
<head> == "Mid:<>"  
<phon> == minor\_third  
<sem> == phatic  
<> == Complex\_Prosody.

Complex\_Prosody:

<entry> == Prosody  
' ← ( " <spec int>" '&' " <head int>" )'  
<> == Prosody.

Prosody:

<entry> == '{ SEM:' "<sem>"  
'PHON:' "<phon>" }'  
<sem> == "<sem>"  
<phon> == "<phon>"  
<> == .

# The (partially) compositional intonation lexicon

Compositional lexical access:

- the lexicon as a theory,
- the query results as derived theorems

High:< int > = { SEM: continue PHON: high } .

Mid:< int > = { SEM: hesitate PHON: mid } .

Low:< entry > = { SEM: stop PHON: low } .

Rise:< entry > = { SEM: suspense PHON: incomplete }  
← ( { SEM: stop PHON: low } &  
{ SEM: continue PHON: high } ) .

Fall:< entry > = { SEM: certainty PHON: complete }  
← ( { SEM: continue PHON: high } &  
{ SEM: stop PHON: low } ) .

# Summary

- Paradigmatic computing is essentially about
  - **generalisations over entries in a lexicon**
  - **lexica include inventories of**
    - **phonemes, tones or intonations**
    - **collocations, idioms**
- Objective: to account for
  - Partial generalisations in terms of defaults (markedness)
  - Oppositions – express contrasts
    - Privative vs. equipollent oppositions (Prague School)
    - Markedness conventions (generative phonologies)
  - **Redundancies – express generalisations**
    - Morpheme structure rules
    - Redundancy rules
    - **Implication hierarchies**
    - **Inheritance hierarchies**

**To be continued ...**