Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and g-expectations ——Introduction to some basic topics

Xue Cheng

Email: chengxue@amss.ac.cn

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, CAS

January, 2008

 How an agent can tell, when facing various risky assets, which one is better?

Problem

How an agent can evaluate, in a financial market, a contingent claim?

- ₹ 🖬 🕨

 How an agent can tell, when facing various risky assets, which one is better?

Problem

How an agent can evaluate, in a financial market, a contingent claim?

∃ ►

 How an agent can tell, when facing various risky assets, which one is better?

Problem

• How an agent can evaluate, in a financial market, a contingent claim?

 How an agent can tell, when facing various risky assets, which one is better?

Problem

• How an agent can evaluate, in a financial market, a contingent claim?

Theorem (Expected utility Theory, von Neumman and Morgenstein:)

- Rational preference
- Continuity Axiom
- Independence Axiom: $L \gtrsim \overline{L} \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 \alpha) \widehat{L} \gtrsim \alpha \overline{L} + (1 \alpha) \widehat{L}$
- Allais' Paradox
- Linearity of Expectation

Theorem (Expected utility Theory, von Neumman and Morgenstein:)

- Rational preference
- Continuity Axiom
- Independence Axiom: $L \gtrsim \overline{L} \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 \alpha) \widehat{L} \gtrsim \alpha \overline{L} + (1 \alpha) \widehat{L}$
- Allais' Paradox
- Linearity of Expectation

Theorem (Expected utility Theory, von Neumman and Morgenstein:)

- Rational preference
- Continuity Axiom
- Independence Axiom: $L \gtrsim \overline{L} \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 \alpha) \hat{L} \gtrsim \alpha \overline{L} + (1 \alpha) \hat{L}$
- Allais' Paradox
- Linearity of Expectation

Theorem (Expected utility Theory, von Neumman and Morgenstein:)

- Rational preference
- Continuity Axiom
- Independence Axiom: $L \gtrsim \overline{L} \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 \alpha)\hat{L} \gtrsim \alpha \overline{L} + (1 \alpha)\hat{L}$
- Allais' Paradox
- Linearity of Expectation

Theorem (Expected utility Theory, von Neumman and Morgenstein:)

- Rational preference
- Continuity Axiom
- Independence Axiom: $L \gtrsim \overline{L} \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 \alpha)\hat{L} \gtrsim \alpha \overline{L} + (1 \alpha)\hat{L}$
- Allais' Paradox
- Linearity of Expectation

Theorem (Expected utility Theory, von Neumman and Morgenstein:)

- Rational preference
- Continuity Axiom
- Independence Axiom: $L \gtrsim \overline{L} \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 \alpha)\hat{L} \gtrsim \alpha \overline{L} + (1 \alpha)\hat{L}$
- Allais' Paradox
- Linearity of Expectation

Complete Market:

- Exact hedging portfolio
- Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
- Linear BSDE

• Incomplete Market:

- Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
- More than one martingale measure
- Linear expectations seem not enough

Complete Market:

- Exact hedging portfolio
- Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
- Linear BSDE

• Incomplete Market:

- Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
- More than one martingale measure
- Linear expectations seem not enough

- Complete Market:
 - Exact hedging portfolio
 - Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
 - Linear BSDE
- Incomplete Market:
 - Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
 - More than one martingale measure
 - Linear expectations seem not enough

- Complete Market:
 - Exact hedging portfolio
 - Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
 - Linear BSDE

• Incomplete Market:

- Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
- More than one martingale measure
- Linear expectations seem not enough

- Complete Market:
 - Exact hedging portfolio
 - Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
 - Linear BSDE

Incomplete Market:

- Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
- More than one martingale measure
- Linear expectations seem not enough

- Complete Market:
 - Exact hedging portfolio
 - Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
 - Linear BSDE
- Incomplete Market:
 - Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
 - More than one martingale measure
 - Linear expectations seem not enough

- Complete Market:
 - Exact hedging portfolio
 - Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
 - Linear BSDE
- Incomplete Market:
 - Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
 - More than one martingale measure
 - Linear expectations seem not enough

- Complete Market:
 - Exact hedging portfolio
 - Girsanov Transform, Equivalent martingale measure
 - Linear BSDE
- Incomplete Market:
 - Not always possible to construct exact hedging portfolio
 - More than one martingale measure
 - Linear expectations seem not enough

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, \ C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE
- Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation
 - with out classical probability space.
 - wider

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, \ C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE
- Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation
 - with out classical probability space.
 - wider

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE
- Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation
 - with out classical probability space.
 - wider

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, \ C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE

Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation

- with out classical probability space.
- wider

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, \ C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE

Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation

- with out classical probability space.
- wider

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, \ C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE
- Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation
 - with out classical probability space.
 - wider

- Choquet Expectation;
 - Probability \mapsto Capacity; monotone, with $C(\emptyset) = 0, \ C(\Omega) = 1;$
 - Expectation: defined according to this "nonlinear probability".
- g-expectation: derived from BSDE
- Sublinear Expectation; Risk Measure, G-Expectation
 - with out classical probability space.
 - wider

Example (Black-Scholes type)

Consider a security market that consists of 2 instruments

• $P_0(t) = e^{rt}$

• $P(t) = p \exp[(b - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma B_t]$

$$\int dP_t = P_t (bdt + \sigma dB_t),$$

$$P_{(0)} = p.$$

frictionless except that interests for borrowing and lending may not the same...

If an agent plan to receive ξ at T, then her wealth: $\begin{cases}
 dV_t = [rV_t + (b - r)Z_t - (V_t - Z_t)^- (R - r)]dt + Z_t \sigma dB_t, \\
 V_T = \xi.
\end{cases}$

Example (Black-Scholes type)

Consider a security market that consists of 2 instruments

• $P_0(t) = e^{rt}$

• $P(t) = p \exp[(b - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma B_t]$

$$\begin{pmatrix} dP_t = P_t(bdt + \sigma dB_t), \\ P_{(0)} = p. \end{cases}$$

frictionless except that interests for borrowing and lending may not the same...

If an agent plan to receive ξ at T, then her wealth: $\begin{cases}
 dV_t = [rV_t + (b - r)Z_t - (V_t - Z_t)^- (R - r)]dt + Z_t \sigma dB_t, \\
 V_T = \xi.
\end{cases}$

Example (Black-Scholes type)

Consider a security market that consists of 2 instruments

•
$$P_0(t) = e^{rt}$$

•
$$P(t) = p \exp[(b - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma B_t]$$

$$dP_t = P_t(bdt + \sigma dB_t),$$

$$P_{(0)} = p.$$

frictionless except that interests for borrowing and lending may not the same...

If an agent plan to receive ξ at T, then her wealth: $\begin{cases}
 dV_t = [rV_t + (b - r)Z_t - (V_t - Z_t)^- (R - r)]dt + Z_t \sigma dB_t, \\
 V_T = \xi.
\end{cases}$

• R = r Black-Scholes Model g(y, z) = ry + (b - r)z $V_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,T}^g[\xi] = \mathbb{E}_Q[\xi], \text{ and } Z_t = \frac{d < V, B >_t}{dt}.$

ⓐ $R \neq r$ Nonlinear, $V_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,T}^g[\xi]$, for L^2 integrable ξ .

•
$$R = r$$
 Black-Scholes Model $g(y, z) = ry + (b - r)z$
 $V_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,T}^g[\xi] = \mathbb{E}_Q[\xi], \text{ and } Z_t = \frac{d < V, B >_t}{dt}.$

2 $R \neq r$ Nonlinear, $V_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,T}^g[\xi]$, for L^2 integrable ξ .

Some Basic Properties of BSDE and g-Expectations

- Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations
- Reflected Backward Differential Equations
- Feynman-Kac Formula
- Sublinear Expectations: G-Expectation

- Some Basic Properties of BSDE and g-Expectations
- Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations
- Reflected Backward Differential Equations
- Feynman-Kac Formula
- Sublinear Expectations: G-Expectation

- Some Basic Properties of BSDE and g-Expectations
- Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations
- Reflected Backward Differential Equations
- Feynman-Kac Formula
- Sublinear Expectations: G-Expectation

- Some Basic Properties of BSDE and g-Expectations
- Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations
- Reflected Backward Differential Equations
- Feynman-Kac Formula
- Sublinear Expectations: G-Expectation

- Some Basic Properties of BSDE and g-Expectations
- Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations
- Reflected Backward Differential Equations
- Feynman-Kac Formula
- Sublinear Expectations: G-Expectation

•
$$\begin{cases} dy_t = -g(t, y_t, z_t)dt + z_t dB_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ y_T = \xi \end{cases}$$

 Pardoux, E., Peng, S., Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation, Systems Control Letters 14: 55-61, 1990.

 Peng, S., BSDE and related g-expectations, Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, El Karoui, N. and Mazliak, L. eds., Paris, 1995-1996, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 364, 141-159, Longman, Harlow, 1997.

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) : a complete probability space;
- $\{B_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$: a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion;
- $\{\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$: the natural filtration of B_t ;
- $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} progressively measurable stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\int_0^T |\phi|^p dt] < \infty$;
- $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} adapted RCLL stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi|^p] < \infty$;
- $S^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: continuous stochastic processes in $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$;

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) : a complete probability space;
- { B_t , $0 \le t \le T$ }: a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion;
- $\{\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$: the natural filtration of B_t ;
- $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} progressively measurable stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\int_0^T |\phi|^p dt] < \infty$;
- $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} adapted RCLL stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi|^p] < \infty$;
- $S^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: continuous stochastic processes in $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$;

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) : a complete probability space;
- $\{B_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$: a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion;
- $\{\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$: the natural filtration of B_t ;
- $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} progressively measurable stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\int_0^T |\phi|^p dt] < \infty$;
- $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} adapted RCLL stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi|^p] < \infty$;
- $S^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: continuous stochastic processes in $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$;

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) : a complete probability space;
- { B_t , $0 \le t \le T$ }: a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion;
- { \mathcal{F}_t , $0 \le t \le T$ }: the natural filtration of B_t ;
- $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} progressively measurable stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\int_0^T |\phi|^p dt] < \infty$;
- $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} adapted RCLL stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi|^p] < \infty$;
- $S^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: continuous stochastic processes in $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$;

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) : a complete probability space;
- { B_t , $0 \le t \le T$ }: a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion;
- $\{\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$: the natural filtration of B_t ;
- $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} progressively measurable stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\int_0^T |\phi|^p dt] < \infty$;
- $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} adapted RCLL stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi|^p] < \infty;$
- $S^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: continuous stochastic processes in $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$;

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) : a complete probability space;
- { B_t , $0 \le t \le T$ }: a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion;
- { \mathcal{F}_t , $0 \le t \le T$ }: the natural filtration of B_t ;
- $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} progressively measurable stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\int_0^T |\phi|^p dt] < \infty$;
- $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(R^m)$: R^m valued \mathcal{F} adapted RCLL stochastic process ϕ , with $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi|^p] < \infty;$
- $S^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: continuous stochastic processes in $D^p_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$;

- the terminal value $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$;
- for the generator $g: [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \Omega \to R$,
 - (A1), $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is an adapted process with $E[\int_0^t |g(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty$;
 - (A2), $|g(t, y_1, z_1) g(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C(|y_1 y_2| + |z_1 z_2|);$
 - (A3), $g(\cdot, 0, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;
 - (A4), for every $y \in R$, $g(\cdot, y, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;

- the terminal value $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$;
- for the generator $g: [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \Omega \to R$,
 - (A1), $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is an adapted process with $E[\int_0^T |g(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty$;
 - (A2), $|g(t, y_1, z_1) g(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C(|y_1 y_2| + |z_1 z_2|);$
 - (A3), $g(\cdot, 0, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;
 - (A4), for every $y \in R$, $g(\cdot, y, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;

- the terminal value $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$;
- for the generator $g: [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \Omega \to R$,
 - (A1), $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is an adapted process with $E[\int_0^T |g(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty$;
 - (A2), $|g(t, y_1, z_1) g(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C(|y_1 y_2| + |z_1 z_2|);$
 - (A3), $g(\cdot, 0, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;
 - (A4), for every $y \in R$, $g(\cdot, y, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;

- the terminal value $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$;
- for the generator $g: [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \Omega \to R$,
 - (A1), $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is an adapted process with $E[\int_0^T |g(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty$;
 - (A2), $|g(t, y_1, z_1) g(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C(|y_1 y_2| + |z_1 z_2|);$
 - (A3), $g(\cdot, 0, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;
 - (A4), for every $y \in R$, $g(\cdot, y, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;

- the terminal value $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$;
- for the generator $g: [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \Omega \to R$,
 - (A1), $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is an adapted process with $E[\int_0^T |g(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty$;
 - (A2), $|g(t, y_1, z_1) g(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C(|y_1 y_2| + |z_1 z_2|);$
 - (A3), $g(\cdot, 0, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;
 - (A4), for every $y \in R$, $g(\cdot, y, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;

- the terminal value $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$;
- for the generator $g: [0, T] \times R \times R^d \times \Omega \to R$,
 - (A1), $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is an adapted process with $E[\int_0^T |g(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty$;
 - (A2), $|g(t, y_1, z_1) g(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C(|y_1 y_2| + |z_1 z_2|);$
 - (A3), $g(\cdot, 0, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;
 - (A4), for every $y \in R$, $g(\cdot, y, 0) = 0$, $dt \times dP a.s.$;

Theorem (Existence and Uniqueness)

If the parameters of BSDE satisfy the terminal assumption and generator assumption (A1), (A2), the equation has a unique pair of adapted L^2 integrable solution $(y_t, z_t) \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(R) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}$.

• We simply give the Stability of solutions in the following sense: $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |Y_t^1 - Y_t^2|^2] + E[\int_0^T |Z_s^1 - Z_s^2|^2 ds] \le cE[|\xi^1 - \xi^2|^2],$

Theorem (Existence and Uniqueness)

If the parameters of BSDE satisfy the terminal assumption and generator assumption (A1), (A2), the equation has a unique pair of adapted L^2 integrable solution $(y_t, z_t) \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(R) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}$.

• We simply give the Stability of solutions in the following sense: $E[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |Y_t^1 - Y_t^2|^2] + E[\int_0^T |Z_s^1 - Z_s^2|^2 ds] \le cE[|\xi^1 - \xi^2|^2],$

Definition (g-Evaluation and g-Expectation)

- The so called *g*-evaluation can be defined as $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}(y_t) = y_s, \ 0 \le s \le t \le T;$
- If furthermore, g satisfies assumption (A4), then g-expectation can be defined as B^g(ξ|F_t) = y_t.

Definition (g-Evaluation and g-Expectation)

• The so called *g*-evaluation can be defined as $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}(y_t) = y_s, \ 0 \le s \le t \le T;$

 If furthermore, g satisfies assumption (A4), then g-expectation can be defined as B^g(ξ|F_t) = y_t.

Definition (g-Evaluation and g-Expectation)

- The so called *g*-evaluation can be defined as $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}(y_t) = y_s, \ 0 \le s \le t \le T;$
- If furthermore, g satisfies assumption (A4), then g-expectation can be defined as

 B^g(ξ|𝓕_t) = y_t.

Theorem (Comparison Theorem)

Suppose (ξ_1, g_1) , (ξ_2, g_2) satisfy the conditions stated above, let (Y^1, Z^1) , (Y^2, Z^2) be the solutions of the corresponding BSDEs, if

$$\xi_1 \ge \xi_2, \ g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) \ge g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$$

Then we have

 $Y_t^1 \ge Y_t^2, a.s.$

And under the above conditions,

 $Y_0^1 = Y_0^2 \Leftrightarrow \xi_1 = \xi_2 \text{ and } g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) = g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$

• This is a generalized version.

- It is One of the most important theorems about BSDE, it "plays the same role that the maximum principle in PDE" (El Karoui).
- It rules out "Arbitrage Opportunities" when using BSDE in pricing.

Theorem (Comparison Theorem)

Suppose (ξ_1, g_1) , (ξ_2, g_2) satisfy the conditions stated above, let (Y^1, Z^1) , (Y^2, Z^2) be the solutions of the corresponding BSDEs, if

$$\xi_1 \ge \xi_2, \ g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) \ge g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$$

Then we have

 $Y_t^1 \ge Y_t^2, a.s.$

And under the above conditions,

 $Y_0^1 = Y_0^2 \Leftrightarrow \xi_1 = \xi_2 \text{ and } g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) = g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$

• This is a generalized version.

 It is One of the most important theorems about BSDE, it "plays the same role that the maximum principle in PDE" (El Karoui).

It rules out "Arbitrage Opportunities" when using BSDE in pricing.

Theorem (Comparison Theorem)

Suppose (ξ_1, g_1) , (ξ_2, g_2) satisfy the conditions stated above, let (Y^1, Z^1) , (Y^2, Z^2) be the solutions of the corresponding BSDEs, if

$$\xi_1 \ge \xi_2, \ g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) \ge g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$$

Then we have

$$Y_t^1 \ge Y_t^2, a.s.$$

And under the above conditions,

 $Y_0^1 = Y_0^2 \Leftrightarrow \xi_1 = \xi_2 \text{ and } g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) = g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$

• This is a generalized version.

 It is One of the most important theorems about BSDE, it "plays the same role that the maximum principle in PDE" (El Karoui).

It rules out "Arbitrage Opportunities" when using BSDE in pricing.

Theorem (Comparison Theorem)

Suppose (ξ_1, g_1) , (ξ_2, g_2) satisfy the conditions stated above, let (Y^1, Z^1) , (Y^2, Z^2) be the solutions of the corresponding BSDEs, if

$$\xi_1 \ge \xi_2, \ g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) \ge g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$$

Then we have

$$Y_t^1 \ge Y_t^2, a.s.$$

And under the above conditions,

 $Y_0^1 = Y_0^2 \Leftrightarrow \xi_1 = \xi_2 \text{ and } g_1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) = g_2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2), a.s., a.e.$

- This is a generalized version.
- It is One of the most important theorems about BSDE, it "plays the same role that the maximum principle in PDE" (El Karoui).
- It rules out "Arbitrage Opportunities" when using BSDE in pricing.

- (P1) (Monotonicity) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] \leq E^{g}_{t,T}[\eta]$, if $\xi \leq \eta$;
- (P2) If $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, then, $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,t}[\xi] = \xi$, and for g-expectation, $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] = \xi$, a.s.
- (P3) (*Time Consistency*) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi]] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,T}[\xi], s \leq t;$
- (P4) ("Zero-One Law") If g satisfies (A3), $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[I_{A}\xi] = I_{A}\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi], A \in \mathcal{F}_{t};$
- (P5) (Translation Invariance) For g-expectation with g independent of y, $\mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi + \eta|\mathcal{F}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{t}] + \eta$, a.s. where $\eta \in L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{t})$.

- (P1) (Monotonicity) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] \leq E^{g}_{t,T}[\eta], \text{ if } \xi \leq \eta;$
- (P2) If $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, then, $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,t}[\xi] = \xi$, and for g-expectation, $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] = \xi$, a.s.
- (P3) (*Time Consistency*) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi]] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,T}[\xi], s \leq t;$
- (P4) ("Zero-One Law") If g satisfies (A3), $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[I_{A}\xi] = I_{A}\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi], A \in \mathcal{F}_{t};$
- (P5) (Translation Invariance) For g-expectation with g independent of y, $\mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi + \eta|\mathcal{F}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{t}] + \eta$, a.s. where $\eta \in L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{t})$.

(P1) (Monotonicity) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] \leq E^{g}_{t,T}[\eta], \text{ if } \xi \leq \eta;$

(P2) If $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, then, $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,t}[\xi] = \xi$, and for g-expectation, $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] = \xi$, *a.s.*

(P3) (*Time Consistency*) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi]] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,T}[\xi], s \leq t;$

- (P4) ("Zero-One Law") If g satisfies (A3), $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[I_{A}\xi] = I_{A}\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi], A \in \mathcal{F}_{t};$
- (P5) (Translation Invariance) For g-expectation with g independent of y, $\mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi + \eta|\mathcal{F}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{t}] + \eta$, a.s. where $\eta \in L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{t})$.

(P1) (Monotonicity) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] \leq E^{g}_{t,T}[\eta], \text{ if } \xi \leq \eta;$

(P2) If $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, then, $\mathbb{B}^{g}_{t,t}[\xi] = \xi$, and for g-expectation, $\mathbb{B}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] = \xi$, *a.s.*

- (P3) (*Time Consistency*) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi]] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,T}[\xi], s \leq t;$
- (P4) ("Zero-One Law") If g satisfies (A3), $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[I_{A}\xi] = I_{A}\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi], A \in \mathcal{F}_{t};$
- (P5) (Translation Invariance) For g-expectation with g independent of y, $\mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi + \eta|\mathcal{F}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{t}] + \eta$, a.s. where $\eta \in L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{t})$.

(P1) (Monotonicity) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] \leq E^{g}_{t,T}[\eta], \text{ if } \xi \leq \eta;$

(P2) If $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, then, $\mathbb{B}^{g}_{t,t}[\xi] = \xi$, and for g-expectation, $\mathbb{B}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] = \xi$, *a.s.*

- (P3) (*Time Consistency*) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi]] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,T}[\xi], s \leq t;$
- (P4) ("Zero-One Law") If g satisfies (A3), $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[I_{A}\xi] = I_{A}\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi], A \in \mathcal{F}_{t};$
- (P5) (Translation Invariance) For g-expectation with g independent of y, $\mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi + \eta | \mathcal{F}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_{t}] + \eta$, a.s. where $\eta \in L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{t})$.

(P1) (Monotonicity) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] \leq E^{g}_{t,T}[\eta], \text{ if } \xi \leq \eta;$

(P2) If $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, then, $\mathbb{B}^{g}_{t,t}[\xi] = \xi$, and for g-expectation, $\mathbb{B}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi] = \xi$, *a.s.*

- (P3) (*Time Consistency*) $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi]] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,T}[\xi], s \leq t;$
- (P4) ("Zero-One Law") If g satisfies (A3), $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[I_{A}\xi] = I_{A}\mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\xi], A \in \mathcal{F}_{t};$
- (P5) (*Translation Invariance*) For *g*-expectation with *g* independent of *y*, $\mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi + \eta|\mathcal{F}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{t}] + \eta$, *a.s.* where $\eta \in L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{t})$.

Theorem (pseudo-linearity)

For $0 \le s \le t \le T$, $X, Y \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, we have

 $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[Xl_A + Yl_{A^c}] = \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[X]l_A + \mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}[Y]l_{A^c}$

Theorem (Monotonic Limit Theorem)

Consider the following sequence of BSDEs:

$$y_t^i = y_T^i + \int_t^T g(s, y_s^i, z_s^i) ds + (A_T^i - A_t^i) - \int_t^T z_s^i dB_s$$

where g satisfies(A1) and(A2), A^i is a continuous increasing process with $A_0^i = 0$ and $A_T^i \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. If y^i converges monotonically up to a process y as $i \to \infty$, and $E[essup_{0 \le t \le T}|y_t|^2] < \infty$. Then there exists stochastic processes z and A, s.t.

$$y_t = y_T + \int_t^T g(s, y_s, z_s) ds + (A_T - A_t) - \int_t^T z_s dB_s$$

Chen, Z. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris, SerieI 326(4): 483-488 (1998)

Briand, P., Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; Electon. Comm. Probab. 5: 101-117 (2000)

Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 333: 577-581 (2001)

Jiang, L. Statistics and Probability Letters,7(2), 173-183(2005)

Theorem

- $(A1)(A2), g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^2}[\xi], \text{ for all } \xi \text{ and } s, t;$
- (A2)(A4), no y, $g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}^{g^2}[\xi];$
- (A2)(A4), $g^1 = g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{g^1}[\xi] = \mathbb{E}^{g^2}[\xi];$

Chen, Z. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris, SerieI 326(4): 483-488 (1998)

Briand, P., Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; Electon. Comm. Probab. 5: 101-117 (2000)

Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 333: 577-581 (2001)

Jiang, L. Statistics and Probability Letters,7(2), 173-183(2005)

Theorem

• $(A1)(A2), g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^2}[\xi], \text{ for all } \xi \text{ and } s, t;$

(A2)(A4), no y, g¹ ≥ g² ⇔ E^{g¹}[ξ] ≥ E^{g²}[ξ];
 (A2)(A4), g¹ = g² ⇔ E^{g¹}[ξ] = E^{g²}[ξ];

Chen, Z. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris, SerieI 326(4): 483-488 (1998)

Briand, P., Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; Electon. Comm. Probab. 5: 101-117 (2000)

Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 333: 577-581 (2001)

Jiang, L. Statistics and Probability Letters,7(2), 173-183(2005)

Theorem

- $(A1)(A2), g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^2}[\xi], \text{ for all } \xi \text{ and } s, t;$
- (A2)(A4), no y, $g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}^{g^2}[\xi];$ • (A2)(A4), $g^1 = g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{g^1}[\xi] = \mathbb{E}^{g^2}[\xi]:$

Chen, Z. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris, SerieI 326(4): 483-488 (1998)

Briand, P., Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; Electon. Comm. Probab. 5: 101-117 (2000)

Coquet, F., Hu, Y., Memin, J., Peng, S.; C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 333: 577-581 (2001)

Jiang, L. Statistics and Probability Letters,7(2), 173-183(2005)

Theorem

•
$$(A1)(A2), g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}_{s,t}^{g^2}[\xi], \text{ for all } \xi \text{ and } s, t;$$

• (A2)(A4), no
$$y, g^1 \ge g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{g^1}[\xi] \ge \mathbb{E}^{g^2}[\xi];$$

• (A2)(A4),
$$g^1 = g^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{g^1}[\xi] = \mathbb{E}^{g^2}[\xi];$$

Definition (Martingale under g-Evaluation)

An \mathcal{F} -progressively measurable real valued process y with

 $E(ess \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |y_t|^2) < \infty,$

is called a g-martingale(under g-evaluation) if for $\forall 0 \le s \le t \le T$,

 $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}(y_t) = y_s, a.s.$

• We can also define analogously g-supermartingale and g-submartingale

Definition (Martingale under g-Evaluation)

An \mathcal{F} -progressively measurable real valued process y with

 $E(ess\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|y_t|^2)<\infty,$

is called a g-martingale(under g-evaluation) if for $\forall 0 \le s \le t \le T$,

 $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{s,t}(y_t) = y_s, a.s.$

• We can also define analogously g-supermartingale and g-submartingale

Theorem (Optional Stopping)

Assume the generater g satisfies (A1)(A2), and y is a g-supermartingale(resp. submartingale). Then for every tow stopping times $\sigma, \tau \leq T$ with $\sigma \leq \tau$, we have

 $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{\sigma,\tau}(y_{\tau}) \leq y_{\sigma}, a.s.$

Theorem (Doob-Meyer Type Decomposition Theorem)

Assume that g satisfies (A1)(A2).Let (y_t) be a right continuous g-supermartingale on [0,T]. Then there exists a unique RCLL increasing process (A_t) with $E[A_T^2] < \infty$ and $A_0 = 0$, such that (y_t) coincides with the unique solution (y_t) of the following BSDE.

$$y_t = y_T + \int_t^T g(s, y_s, z_s) ds + (A_T - A_t) - \int_t^T z_s dB_s, \ t \in [0, T].$$

Theorem (Optional Stopping)

Assume the generater g satisfies (A1)(A2), and y is a g-supermartingale(resp. submartingale). Then for every tow stopping times $\sigma, \tau \leq T$ with $\sigma \leq \tau$, we have

 $\mathbb{E}^{g}_{\sigma,\tau}(y_{\tau}) \leq y_{\sigma}, a.s.$

Theorem (Doob-Meyer Type Decomposition Theorem)

Assume that g satisfies (A1)(A2).Let (y_t) be a right continuous g-supermartingale on [0,T]. Then there exists a unique RCLL increasing process (A_t) with $E[A_T^2] < \infty$ and $A_0 = 0$, such that (y_t) coincides with the unique solution (y_t) of the following BSDE.

$$y_t = y_T + \int_t^T g(s, y_s, z_s) ds + (A_T - A_t) - \int_t^T z_s dB_s, \ t \in [0, T].$$

글 🖌 🔺 글 🕨

• BSDE with non-Lipschits assumption

- BSDE driven by general Levy process
- BSDE on manifolds

.....

- BSDE with non-Lipschits assumption
- BSDE driven by general Levy process
- BSDE on manifolds

- BSDE with non-Lipschits assumption
- BSDE driven by general Levy process
- BSDE on manifolds

.

 $\begin{cases} dX_t = b(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dB_t, \\ dY_t = h(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dB_t, \\ X(0) = x, Y(T) = g(X_T). \end{cases}$

 Antonelli,F, Backward-Forward Stochastic Differential Equations, Ann. App. Prob., 3(1993), 777-793;

◊ Ma,J and Yong,J, FBSDE and their applications, springer, 1999.

These equations closely connected with PDEs, thus have lead to interesting results:

- Stochastic representations for PDEs; Generalizing Feynman-Kac formula...
- Monte-Carlo numerical method; Jianfeng Zhang; V.Bally; G.Pages; Nizar Touzi.....

In a financial market, think of a big investor.....

 $\begin{cases} dX_t = b(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dB_t, \\ dY_t = h(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dB_t, \\ X(0) = x, Y(T) = g(X_T). \end{cases}$

 Antonelli,F, Backward-Forward Stochastic Differential Equations, Ann. App. Prob., 3(1993), 777-793;

◊ Ma,J and Yong,J, FBSDE and their applications, springer, 1999.

These equations closely connected with PDEs, thus have lead to interesting results:

- Stochastic representations for PDEs; Generalizing Feynman-Kac formula...
- Monte-Carlo numerical method; Jianfeng Zhang; V.Bally; G.Pages; Nizar Touzi.....

In a financial market, think of a big investor.....

Theorem (Existence Theorem in Small "Time Durations")

If the parameters for FBSDE, always denoted ϕ , satisfy:

1), uniformly Lipschitz in (x,y,z);

2), given (x,y,z), is progressively measurable(b,h, σ) or measurable in \mathcal{F}_T (for g)

3), $\phi(t, 0, \omega) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}$

4), the Lipschitz constants of σ and g, L_1 , L_2 : $L_1L_2 < 1$

Then there exists a $T_0 > 0$, s.t.for any $T \in (0, T_0]$ and initial value x, FBSDE admits a unique adapted solution $(X, Y, Z) \in (S_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \times S_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \times L_{\mathcal{F}}^2)$.

FBSDE for large time duration might unsolvable.

Theorem (Existence Theorem in Small "Time Durations")

If the parameters for FBSDE, always denoted ϕ , satisfy:

1), uniformly Lipschitz in (x,y,z);

2), given (x,y,z), is progressively measurable(b,h, σ) or measurable in \mathcal{F}_T (for g)

3), $\phi(t, 0, \omega) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}$

4), the Lipschitz constants of σ and g, L_1 , L_2 : $L_1L_2 < 1$

Then there exists a $T_0 > 0$, s.t.for any $T \in (0, T_0]$ and initial value x, FBSDE admits a unique adapted solution $(X, Y, Z) \in (S_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \times S_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \times L_{\mathcal{F}}^2)$.

• FBSDE for large time duration might unsolvable.

Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equations

Reflected Backward Differential Equations (RBSDE) was first introduced in 1997:

El Karoui, N., Kapoudjian, C., Pardoux, E., Peng S. and Quenez, M.C., Reflected Solutions of Backward SDE and Related Obstacle Problems for PDEs, Ann. Probab. 25, no2, 702-737, 1997.

A solution for RBSDE with (ξ, g, L_t) , is a triple (Y, Z, K) satisfying

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

and $Y_t \ge L_t$ on [0, T].

 (K_t) is a nondecreasing continuous process, s.t.

$$\int_0^T (Y_s - L_s) dK_s = 0$$

• RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;

- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with L^2 barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with L^2 barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with *L*² barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with *L*² barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with *L*² barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with *L*² barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with *L*² barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

- RBSDEs with one continuous barrier;
- RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;
- RBSDEs with *L*² barriers;
- RBSDEs with continuous barriers under non-Lipschitz condition.

- The stochastic representation of solutions of PDE with obstacle(s);
- Applications in Finance:
 - Pricing American Options;
 - Pricing Game Options.

* And for the RBSDEs with two continuous barriers;

A solution is a quadruple (Y, Z, K^+, K^-) , which satisfies

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + K_T^+ - K_t^+ - (K_T^- - K_t^-) - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

 $L_t \leq Y_t \leq U_t$ on [0, T].

 (K_t^+, K_t^-) are non decreasing and continuous,

$$\int_0^T (Y_s - L_s) dK_s^+ = 0 \text{ and } \int_0^T (Y_s - U_s) dK_s^- = 0.$$

Theorem

Let (Y, Z, K) be the solution of RBSDE, then

$$Y_t = ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} E[\int_t^\tau g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + L_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = T\}} |\mathcal{F}_t],$$

where \mathcal{T}_t is the set of all stopping times valued in [t, T].

Theorem

Let (Y, Z, K) be the solution of RBSDE with 2 continuous barriers, then, for any $0 \le t \le T$ and any stopping times τ , $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_t$, consider

$$R_t(\sigma,\tau) = \int_t^{\sigma\wedge\tau} g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \xi \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma\wedge\tau=T\}} + L_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau< T, \tau\leq\sigma\}} + U_\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma<\tau\}}.$$

 $\overline{V}_t = ess \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_t} ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} E[R_t(\sigma, \tau) | \mathcal{F}_t], \ \underline{V}_t = ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} ess \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_t} E[R_t(\sigma, \tau) | \mathcal{F}_t]$

Then $Y_t = \overline{V}_t = \underline{V}_t$

Application in Pricing American Options:

 El Karoui, N., Pardoux, E., and Quenez, M.C., Reflected Backward SDEs and American Options, Numerical methods in finance, Newton Inst. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 215-231, 1997.

"In some constraint cases, the strategy wealth portfolio (X_t, π) ... satisfy the following BSDE

$$-dX_t = b(t, X_t, \pi_t)dt - \pi_t^* \sigma_t dW_t$$

Here b... convex with respect to x,πsuppose that the volatility is invertible and that $(\sigma_t)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded..."

Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equations

Consider the payoff process:

$$\widetilde{S}_s = \xi \mathbbm{1}_{\{s=T\}} + S_s \mathbbm{1}_{\{s < T\}}.$$

Fix $t \in [0, T]$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t$; then exists a unique strategy $(X_s(\tau, \widetilde{S}_{\tau}), \pi(\tau, \widetilde{S}_{\tau}))$, which replicate \widetilde{S}_{τ} , i.e. for some coefficient *b*

$$\begin{aligned} -dX_s^{\tau} &= b(s, X_s^{\tau}, \pi_s^{\tau})ds - (\pi_s^{\tau})^* dB_s, 0 \le s \le T, \\ X_{\tau}^{\tau} &= \widetilde{S}_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the price of the American contingent claim $(S_s, 0 \le s \le T)$ at time *T* is given by

$$X_t = ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} X_t(\tau, S_\tau).$$

And under the convex assumption, it can be proved that:

$$X_{t} = ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t}} E[\int_{t}^{\tau} b(s, X_{s}, \pi_{s}) ds + S_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = T\}} |\mathcal{F}_{t}],$$

Application in Pricing Game Options:

◊ Kifer, Y. Game option Finance Stochatic, 4, 442-463, 2000.

Hamadene S. and Lepeltier, J.-P. Reflected BSDEs and mixed game problem, Stochastics Processes
 Appl. 85, 177-188. 2000

• A: can choose cancellation time $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$

- **B**: choose exercise time $au \in \mathcal{T}$
- **Payoffs**: $\infty \ge L_t \ge U_t \ge 0$
- Thus A pays B:

 $R(\sigma,\tau) = L_\sigma l_{[\sigma < \tau]} + U_\tau l_{[\tau \le \sigma]}$

- A: can choose cancellation time $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$
- **B**: choose exercise time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$
- **Payoffs**: $\infty \ge L_t \ge U_t \ge 0$
- Thus A pays B:

 $R(\sigma,\tau) = L_{\sigma}l_{[\sigma < \tau]} + U_{\tau}l_{[\tau \leq \sigma]}$

- A: can choose cancellation time $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$
- **B**: choose exercise time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$
- **Payoffs**: $\infty \ge L_t \ge U_t \ge 0$

• Thus A pays B:

 $R(\sigma,\tau) = L_{\sigma}l_{[\sigma<\tau]} + U_{\tau}l_{[\tau\leq\sigma]}$

- A: can choose cancellation time $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$
- **B**: choose exercise time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$
- Payoffs: $\infty \ge L_t \ge U_t \ge 0$
- Thus A pays B:

 $R(\sigma,\tau) = L_{\sigma}l_{[\sigma<\tau]} + U_{\tau}l_{[\tau\leq\sigma]}$

And the fair price?

$$V_{t,T} = ess \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_t} ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} E[e^{-r\sigma \wedge \tau} R_t(\sigma, \tau) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_t} E[e^{-r\sigma \wedge \tau} R_t(\sigma, \tau) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

 Pardoux, E., Peng, S., Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. Lecture Notes in CIS, Vol. 176. Springer-Verlag, 200 – 217, 1992.

 Pardoux, E., Peng, S., Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear parabolic SPDEs, Probab. Theory Rel. Fields 98, 209 – 227, 1994.

Consider the following coupled FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{x,t} = b(X_s^{x,t})ds + \sigma(X_s^{x,t})dW_s, \ s \in [t,T] \\ X_t^{x,t} = x. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t^{x,t} = g(X_s^{x,t}, Y_s^{x,t}, Z_s^{x,t})ds - Z_s^{x,t}dW_s, \ s \in [t,T] \\ Y_T^{x,t} = \Phi(X_T^{x,t}). \end{cases}$$

Assumptions:

(H1) b, σ satisfies conditions s.t. the SDE has a unique strong solution;

(H2) g satisfies conditions s.t. the BSDE has a unique solution for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$;

(H3) b, σ , g are deterministic functions.

Set $u(x, t) \triangleq \mathbb{E}^{g}_{t,T}[\Phi(X_T^{x,t})].$

Theorem

Assume (H1)(H2)(H3), then *u* is a deterministic function. And if $u \in C^{2,1}$, then it satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u + f(x, u, \sigma^T \nabla u) = 0, \ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T], \\ u(x, T) = \Phi(x), \end{cases}$$

where, $\mathcal{L}\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}$, $a_{i,j} = [\sigma \sigma^T]_{i,j}$. Conversely, if the PDE has a $C^{2,1}$ -solution, then the solution is unique and is u.

Theorem

If (H3) is not satisfied, then u is a \mathcal{F} -adapted process. And if u is smooth enough, then it satisfies:

 $\begin{cases} -du(t,x) = [\mathcal{L}u(t,x) + g(t,x,u,Du\sigma + \phi) + D\phi\sigma]dt - (Du\sigma + \phi)dWt, \\ u(x,T) = \Phi(x), \end{cases}$

and conversely, if (u, ϕ) is the solution of BSPDE, then $(u(s, X_s^{t,x}), (Du\sigma + \phi)(s, X_s^{t,x}))$ is the solution of the related BSDE.

Applications:

Monte-Carlo methods for PDE;

Using numerical methods of PDE in solving BSDE.

Example

Consider the Black-Scholes type example. Pricing a contingent claim with a terminal value $(P(T) - q)^+$, we can use the Feynman-Kac formula:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u + g(p, u, \sigma^T \nabla u) = 0, \\ u(p, T) = (p - q) +, \end{cases}$$

The fair price is just $y_0 = u(P(0), 0)$.

Applications:

- Monte-Carlo methods for PDE;
- Using numerical methods of PDE in solving BSDE.

Example

Consider the Black-Scholes type example. Pricing a contingent claim with a terminal value $(P(T) - q)^+$, we can use the Feynman-Kac formula:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u + g(p, u, \sigma^T \nabla u) = 0, \\ u(p, T) = (p - q) +, \end{cases}$$

The fair price is just $y_0 = u(P(0), 0)$.

Applications:

- Monte-Carlo methods for PDE;
- Using numerical methods of PDE in solving BSDE.

Example

Consider the Black-Scholes type example. Pricing a contingent claim with a terminal value $(P(T) - q)^+$, we can use the Feynman-Kac formula:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u + g(p, u, \sigma^T \nabla u) = 0, \\ u(p, T) = (p - q) +, \end{cases}$$

The fair price is just $y_0 = u(P(0), 0)$.

Sublinear Expectations: G-Expectation

First let us look at two examples.

Example ("Premium Ambiguity")

Suppose
$$dV_t = \frac{dP_t}{P_t}$$
, and $r = 0$,

$$V_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_s^{\mathsf{T}} (dB_s + \theta_s ds)$$

where θ denotes the risk premium,

let

$$\frac{dQ_{\theta}}{dp} \triangleq \exp[\int_0^T \theta_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt],$$

then

$$V_0 = E_{Q_\theta}[V_T],$$

for the uncertainty of premium, we can give the price to a stochastic asset X as $\sup_{O_{\theta}} E_{Q_{\theta}}[X], \theta_t \in \Theta$.

In fact, this can be write into g-expectation frame work:

$$\mathbb{E}^{g}[X] = \sup_{Q_{\theta}} E_{Q_{\theta}}[X] = Y_{0}$$

 $\mathbb{E}^g: \ L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P) \to \mathbb{R}$

where the g-expectation is derived from a BSDE with generator:

$$g(t, z) \triangleq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sigma_t^{-1} \theta |z|$$

Recall the generalized Feynman-Kac Formula (we might suppose that $\sigma = I$):

$$u(x,t) \triangleq E[\Phi(X_T^{x,t})],$$

then u(x, t) is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathcal{K}u\\ u(0, x) = \Phi(x). \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}\phi = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}$$

Example

Consider the following nonlinear parabolic PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = G(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2})\\ u(0, x) = \Phi(x). \end{cases}$$

where

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\sigma \in [\underline{\sigma}, \, \bar{\sigma}]} (\sigma^2 x).$$

And define $\mathbb{E}[\Phi] \triangleq u(1,0)$

 Note that this kind of nonlinear expectation can not be described by g-expectation, and models the so-called "volatility ambiguity".

Example

Consider the following nonlinear parabolic PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = G(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}) \\ u(0, x) = \Phi(x). \end{cases}$$

where

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\sigma \in [\underline{\sigma}, \, \bar{\sigma}]} (\sigma^2 x).$$

And define $\mathbb{E}[\Phi] \triangleq u(1,0)$

 Note that this kind of nonlinear expectation can not be described by g-expectation, and models the so-called "volatility ambiguity". ◊ Peng, S., G-Expectation, G - Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type, Preprint,(pdf-file available in arXiv:math.PR/0601035v1 3 Jan 2006).

Peng, S., Multi-dimensional G - Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under

G - expectation, Preprint, (pdf-file available in arXiv:math.PR/0601699 v1 28 Jan 2006).

Peng, S., G - Brownian Motion and Dynamic Risk Measure under Volatility Uncertainty, Lecture notes in Mini-course of CSFI, Osaka University, 2007.

(Ω, F, P) → (Ω, H, E), with H a set of randem variables and E a nonlinear expectation;

"Probability Language" ---> "Expectation Language"

◊ Peng, S., G-Expectation, G - Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type, Preprint,(pdf-file available in arXiv:math.PR/0601035v1 3 Jan 2006).

Peng, S., Multi-dimensional G - Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under

G - expectation, Preprint, (pdf-file available in arXiv:math.PR/0601699 v1 28 Jan 2006).

Peng, S., G - Brownian Motion and Dynamic Risk Measure under Volatility Uncertainty, Lecture notes in Mini-course of CSFI, Osaka University, 2007.

- (Ω, F, P) → (Ω, H, E), with H a set of randem variables and E a nonlinear expectation;
- "Probability Language" --> "Expectation Language"

- Sets v.s. Random Variables
- Measurable?
- Distribution?
- Almost surely equality?

- Sets v.s. Random Variables
- Measurable?
- Distribution?
- Almost surely equality?

- Sets v.s. Random Variables
- Measurable?
- Distribution?
- Almost surely equality?

- Sets v.s. Random Variables
- Measurable?
- Distribution?
- Almost surely equality?

Definition (G-Normal Distribution)

A random variable ξ in a sub-expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called $G_{\underline{\sigma},\overline{\sigma}}$ -normal distributed, denoted by $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0; [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$, for a given pair $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \overline{\sigma}$, if for each $\phi \in C_{l,lip}(R)$, the following function defined by

$$u(t,x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[\phi(x + \sqrt{t\xi})], \ (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times R$$

is the unique viscosity solution of the PDE in Example2.

• Corresponding definition of G-Brownian motion;

- Stochastic Calculus of Itô's type...
- Law of Large numbers and Central Limit Theorem...
- d-Dimensional case...

- Corresponding definition of G-Brownian motion;
- Stochastic Calculus of Itô's type...
- Law of Large numbers and Central Limit Theorem...
- d-Dimensional case...

- Corresponding definition of G-Brownian motion;
- Stochastic Calculus of Itô's type...
- Law of Large numbers and Central Limit Theorem...
- d-Dimensional case...

- Corresponding definition of G-Brownian motion;
- Stochastic Calculus of Itô's type...
- Law of Large numbers and Central Limit Theorem...
- d-Dimensional case...

Thank you!

(Bielefeld)

January, 2008 47 / 47

< D > < P > < P > < P >