

A NOTE ON THE SCHOLZ-BRAUER PROBLEM IN ADDITION CHAINS

W. R. UTZ

1. Introduction. An *addition chain* for the positive integer n is a sequence of integers $a_0 = 1 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r = n$ where, for each $i > 0$, $a_i = a_j + a_k$, for some $j, k > i$ ($j = k$ is permitted). For example, 1, 2, 4, 8, 10; 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 10; 1, 2, 4, 6, 10 are three addition chains for $n = 10$. By $l(n)$ one means the smallest r for which there is an addition chain for n . One can easily verify that $l(1) = 0$, $l(2) = 1$, $l(3) = l(4) = 2$, $l(5) = l(6) = l(8) = 3$, $l(7) = l(9) = l(10) = l(12) = l(16) = 4$ and that $l(2^n) = n$.

A. Scholz [2] published the following as problems:

$$(1) \quad m + 1 \leq l(n) \leq 2m \quad \text{for} \quad 2^m + 1 \leq n \leq 2^{m+1}, \quad m \geq 1,$$

$$(2) \quad l(ab) \leq l(a) + l(b),$$

$$(3) \quad l(2^q - 1) \leq q + l(q) - 1.$$

A. T. Brauer [1] established (1) and (2) and also improved another inequality suggested by Scholz. When considering (3) Brauer showed that (3) holds if the chains admitted in determining $l(q)$ are restricted. So far as the author can discover, the original problem (3) of Scholz has not been solved. In this note we establish (3) for some values of q by a method that may extend to an arbitrary q .

2. The case $q = 2^s(2^n + 1)$.

LEMMA 1. $l(2^s + 1) = s + 1$, $s \geq 0$.

PROOF. Clearly, $l(2^s + 1) \leq s + 1$ since

$$1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, \dots, 2^s, 2^s + 1$$

is an addition chain for $2^s + 1$. Also,

$$2^s - 1 < 2^s + 1 < 2^{s+1}$$

hence, by (1), $l(2^s + 1) \geq s + 1$ and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. $l(2^q - 1) \leq q + l(q) - 1 = 2^s + s - 1$ if $q = 2^s$, $s \geq 0$.

PROOF. This is shown by induction. The inequality holds for $s = 0$; suppose it holds for $s = r - 1$. Then setting $m = 2^{r-1}$,

Presented to the Society, April 24, 1953; received by the editors October 16, 1952.

$$\begin{aligned} l(2^{2^m} - 1) &= l[(2^m - 1)(2^m + 1)] \leq l(2^m - 1) + l(2^m + 1) \\ &\leq 2^r + r - 1 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1 and the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. $l(2^{q+1} - 1) \leq q + l(q + 1) = 2^s + s + 1$ if $q = 2^s$, $s \geq 0$.

PROOF. $l(2^{q+1} - 1) \leq l(2^{q+1} - 2) + 1 \leq l(2) + l(2^q - 1) + 1 \leq 2^s + s + 1$ by Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. $l(2^s(2^n + 1)) = n + s + 1$, $s, n \geq 0$.

PROOF. (a) $2^{n+s} - 1 < 2^s(2^n + 1) < 2^{n+s+1}$ hence $l(2^s(2^n + 1)) \geq n + s + 1$ by (1).

(b) $l(2^s(2^n + 1)) \leq l(2^s) + l(2^n + 1) = n + s + 1$ by Lemma 1 and property (2).

THEOREM 1. $l(2^q - 1) \leq q + l(q) - 1 = 2^s(2^n + 1) + n + s$ if $q = 2^s(2^n + 1)$, $s, n \geq 0$.

PROOF. This proof will be by induction on s . We have seen in Lemma 3 that the theorem is true if $s = 0$. Assume, for the induction proof, that it holds for $s = r - 1$ and all $n \geq 0$. If $m = 2^{r-1}(2^n + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} l(2^{2^m} - 1) &\leq l[(2^m - 1)(2^m + 1)] \\ &\leq 2^{r-1}(2^n + 1) + l(2^{r-1}(2^n + 1)) + 2^{r-1}(2^n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

by the inductive hypothesis and Lemma 1. The proof is completed by the use of Lemma 4.

3. Comments and questions. Since any positive integer can be written

$$2^{c_1} + 2^{c_2} + 2^{c_3} + \cdots + 2^{c_n}, \quad \text{where } c_1 > c_2 > \cdots > c_n \geq 0,$$

one might expect to establish (3) by the ideas of this note (numbers for which $n = 2$ having now been disposed of). However, the author has been unable to carry this through.

Other inequalities involving $l(p)$ would be of interest. One can easily show that $l(a + b) \leq l(a) + l(b)$ if $a, b > 1$. Does the inequality $l(p) < l(2p)$ hold for all $p > 0$? Let $S(n)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation $l(x) = n$. Is it true that $S(n) < S(n + 1)$ for all $n > 0$?

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. T. Brauer, *On addition chains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 45 (1939) pp. 736-739.
2. A. Scholz, Jber. Deutschen Math. Verein. vol. 47 (1937) p. 41.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI